



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,716	07/24/2001	Hiroaki Harada	1344.1071	1801
21171	7590	06/21/2010		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER RAPILLO, KRISTINE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Correction to the Office Action mailed April 16, 2010**

This communication is in response to minor typographical errors in the Office Action mailed April 16, 2010. The corrected items have been underlined.

1. Under the 35 USC §112 Claim Rejections, number 5 (page 1 in the Office Action) should read as follows:

"With regard to claim 4, the following limitations are rejected as being vague and indefinite.

- "cross-checking, by a server operated by a service dealer ..." is unclear. It is unclear whether the cross-checking performed by the server or the operator.
- The phrase "judging whether the solicitation-related keyword ...." Is condition; the claims allows the options of found the keyword or has not found the keyword. For the purpose of examination, the claim will be treated as the keyword has not been found. In addition, it is unclear who or what is performing the step of judging."

2. Under the Response to Arguments, number 12 (page 4 in the Office Action) should read as follows:

"Applicant's arguments filed December 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed herein below in the order in which they appear in the response filed December 18, 2009.

"In response to the Applicant's argument, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has applied new passages and new citations to the amended claims".

/Robert Morgan/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626